

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/06/2001

Brent Keeth

09/899,977

03/14/2006

Thorp Reed & Armstrong, LLP One Oxford Centre 14th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425

EXAMINER TRAN, MICHAEL THANH

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1107

2827

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

DB000575-015

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)
Office Action Summary		Applicant(s)
	09/899,977	KEETH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael t. Tran	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 day MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 6, 2001-August 27, 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 70,71,100,120,126,135,136,138-143,147,152,160-165 and 167-208 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 70,71,100,120,126,135,136,138-143,147,152,160-165 and 167-208 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		MICHAELTRAN
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	y (PTO-413) PRIMARY EXAMINATIVE
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/899,977

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the Communications dated July 06, 2001 through August 27, 2004, claims 70, 71, 100, 120, 126, 135, 136, 138-143, 147, 152, 160-165, and 167-208 are active in this application as a result of the cancellation of claims 1-69, 72-99, 101-119, 121-125, 127, 134, 137, 144-146, 148-151, 153-159, and 166.

Election of Species

- 2. A telephone call was made to Richard Coldren on February 23, 2005 to request an oral election to the below restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Testing [claims 70, 71, 100, 120, 135, 143, 147, 152, 160-165, 167-188, and 196-208; classified in class 365, subclass 201]; 2) serial read/write [claims 126 and 189-194; classified in class 365, subclass 221]; and 3) powering [claims 138-142 and 195; classified in class 365, subclass 226].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,977

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant is reminded that upon the **cancellation** of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Conclusion

- 4. When responding to the Office action, Applicants are advised to provide the Examiner with line and page numbers of the application and/or references cited to assist the Examiner in the prosecution of this case.
- 5. A shortened statutory period for response to this Office action is set to expire 30 days from the date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP § 710.02(b)).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1795. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00 P.M.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

The suffer

Michael T. Tran Art Unit 2818 March 9, 2006

MICHAELTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER